Effects of *Melissa officinalis* (lemon balm) consumption on serum lipid profile: a metaanalysis of randomized controlled trials

Kasra Shahsavari¹, Mohammad Reza Shams Ardekani², Mahnaz Khanavi², Tannaz Jamialahmadi^{3,4}, Mehrdad Iranshahi³ and Maede Hasanpour^{2,3*}

Abstract

Background According to traditional medicine, *Melissa officinalis* L., (lemon balm) has been known to remove harmful substances from the blood and is considered a cardiac tonic. Therefore, its use as a cardiovascular remedy may explain the lipid-lowering effects of lemon balm. Dyslipidemia can be considered as a significant preventable risk factor for atherosclerosis, coronary heart disease and type 2 diabetes. The present study is the first meta-analysis to investigate the effects of *M. officinalis* administration on serum levels of high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL), low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL), triglyceride (TG) and total cholesterol (TC).

Methods From inception to October 2023, a thorough search through literature was conducted using PubMed, Scopus, and Web of Science. The inclusion criteria of this study were randomized controlled trials, with or without blinding which provided adequate data for each group at the beginning and end of the follow-up period. Meta-analysis was performed on randomized controlled trials using Comprehensive Meta-Analysis (CMA) V4 software. Risk of bias in the selected studies was examined according to the revised Cochrane risk-of-bias tool for randomized trials. Begg's funnel plot symmetry status, Begg's rank correlation, and Egger's weighted regression tests were employed to evaluate potential publication bias.

Results The meta-analysis comprised of 5 randomized controlled trials with a total of 302 patients. The findings of the meta-analysis indicated that the consumption of lemon balm had a significant decrease in TG (SMD (95% CI): -0.396(-0.620, -0.173), *p*-value = 0.001), TC (SMD (95% CI): -0.416 (-0.641, -0.192), *p*-value < 0.001) and LDL (SMD (95% CI): -0.23(-0.45, -0.008), *p* < 0.05) levels compared to the placebo group. While it had no statistically significant effect on HDL level (SMD (95% CI): 0.336(-0.091, 0.767), *p*-value = 0.123). No significant and detectable publication bias was found in the meta-analysis. Additionally, all included clinical studies demonstrated a low risk of bias for missing outcome data and selection of the reported results. The robustness of the results was demonstrated by a sensitivity analysis using the one-study remove method.

Conclusions The findings of this meta-analysis provide evidence that lemon balm may be administered as a safe and beneficial herbal medicine for reducing TC, TG and LDL levels. According to the pooled results of 5 studies with

*Correspondence: Maede Hasanpour

mhasanpour@farabi.tums.ac.ir; maede.hasanpour@yahoo.com

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.







a total of 302 patients, lemon balm intake had no significant effect on HDL level. This study reinforces the notion that lemon balm may have a substantial impact on serum lipid profile as a potential remedy in cases of dyslipidemia. The main concern of our research is the limited number of eligible studies and the relatively small population size of each individual study. The patients of these studies had different types of diseases and metabolic syndromes. However, the meta-analysis was sufficiently powered to detect the considerable effects of lemon balm in the combined population regardless of type of diseases.

Keywords Melissa officinalis, Lemon balm, Dyslipidemia, Lipid profile, Clinical trials, Meta-analysis

Introduction

Melissa officinalis L., also known as lemon balm, is a perennial medicinal plant of the Lamiaceae family that has long been used in various ethno-medicinal applications for the treatment of numerous diseases. Today, *M. officinalis* grows all over the world, while southern Europe, eastern Mediterranean region, northern Africa, western Asia and northern Iran are considered as its main regions of origin [1].

The applications of lemon balm in traditional medicine date back to more than 2000 years ago, and Theophrastus, Plinius, and Hippocrates mentioned it as a beneficial and effective herbal remedy [2]. The remarkable medicinal properties of lemon balm were first noticed when Dioscorides (1st century AD) found that a decoction of lemon balm leaves was beneficial for people bitten by scorpions, spiders, and dogs [3]. Other useful effects of lemon balm described by Dioscorides include the treatment of dysentery, suffocation caused by mushroom poisoning, difficult breathing, amenorrhea, intestinal ulcers and toothache [3]. Avicenna (Ibn Sina) has explained in his book (Canon of Medicine) that lemon balm can be used for phlegmatic and bilious patients suffering from depression, obsession, anxiety, and psychosis [4]. Lemon balm was also consumed in traditional medicine to treat many diseases related to the central nervous system such as migraine, sleep disorders, epilepsy, vertigo, nervousness and hysteria [5-7]. The essential oil of lemon balm and its tea are popular in Austrian folk medicine as a good herbal remedy for treating patients with digestive and nervous disorders, ulcers, arthralgia, as well as liver and biliary diseases [8-10]. In Moroccan folk medicine, lemon balm is consumed as a sedative, anti-spasmodic and cardiac tonic [11]. In addition, Avicenna recommends its consumption to clear excess black bile from the blood in the heart and to treat palpitations [12]. Therefore, its use as a cardiovascular medicine may explain the lipid-lowering effects of lemon balm.

Lemon balm leaves contain several biologically active compounds including flavonoids, monoterpenes, triterpenes, sesquiterpenes, polyphenol compounds (especially rosmarinic acid as the major chemical compound of lemon balm) and volatile compounds [13–17]. Several preclinical studies demonstrated that *M. officinalis* has a wide range of biological features including antispasmodic, antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, hypoglycemic, antidepressant, hypolipidemic, anticancer and antinociceptive activities [11, 18–26]. Several clinical studies have investigated the potential pharmaceutical properties of *M. officinalis* consumption in patients with depression and anxiety [27], type 2 diabetes [28–30], anxiety disorders and sleep disturbances [14], Alzheimer's disease [31], borderline hyperlipidemia [32], chronic stable angina [33], arrhythmias [34], and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease [35].

Dyslipidemia is defined as an unbalanced state of lipids profile including cholesterol, TG, LDL, and HDL and is regarded as a significant independent risk factor for the incidence of atherosclerosis and subsequent cardiovascular disease (CVD). Approximately 80% of dyslipidemia prevalence is associated with unhealthy dietary behaviors and lifestyle, while the rest can be linked to family history [36, 37]. Statins are the firstline lipid-lowering medications that show several side effects including feeling abnormally tired or physical weakness, myalgia, gastrointestinal problems, sleep disorders and etc. [38-40]. . Nowadays, complementary and alternative medicine for the prevention and treatment of lipid disorders has received increasing attention. It is worth mentioning that a wealth of data from in vitro and in-vivo experiments as well as clinical studies have demonstrated the efficacy of medicinal plants and herbal medicines in regulating lipid profile [41–44]. Therefore, the objective of the present study is to perform a meta-analysis of all clinical trials that investigated the effects of M. officinalis on lipids profile.

Methods

Search strategy

The present study was designed according to the guidelines of the 2009 preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analysis (PRISMA) statement [45]. The search was conducted sequentially using electronic databases including PubMed, Scopus, and Web of Science, and the following keywords were used in the titles and abstracts of the literature

to search from the beginning to October 24, 2023: ("*Melissa*" OR "Lemon balm") AND ("hypercholesterolemia" OR "hypertriglyceridemia" OR "blood fat" OR "blood lipid" OR "lipid blood level" OR "TG" OR "triglyceride" OR "triglycerides" OR "cholesterin" OR "cholesterol" OR "cholestenone" OR "hyperlipemia" OR "high density lipoprotein cholesterol" OR "HDL"OR "low density lipoprotein cholesterol" OR "LDL"). Furthermore, the reference lists of published systematic and narrative reviews were examined to find any potential studies that were not retrieved by our electronic search. The search was restricted to English-language studies, and the search keywords are presented in Supplementary Materials Table 1.

Study selection

The purpose of the present metaanalysis study is to investigate the effects of *M. officinalis* (lemon balm) consumption on serum lipid profile. Clinical trials were included in the meta-analysis if they met the following inclusion criteria: [1] randomized controlled trial with either cross-over or parallel design [2], with or without blinding [3], conducted on patients with any type of disease [4], studies that provided adequate data for each group at the beginning and end of the follow-up period, or research that presented net values of change. The excluded criteria were [1] non-randomized clinical trials [2], case studies [3], uncontrolled trials [4] observational investigations

Table 1 The main characteristics of the included studies

with cross-sectional, case-control or cohort design [5], failure to provide necessary data at baseline or at the end of the follow-up time. The research question for the study following the population-intervention-comparator-outcome (PICO) format was: population; patients with any type of disease, intervention; *M. officinalis* (lemon balm), comparison; placebo group, and outcomes; lipid profile markers, namely high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL), low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL), triglyceride (TG) and total cholesterol (TC).

Data extraction

In order to minimize bias and error in data collection, after removing duplicate studies, two researchers independently reviewed all remaining records and relevant articles were selected through primary (title and abstract) and secondary (full text) screening based on the predetermined exclusion and inclusion criteria. No studies were priorly excluded due to poor design or data quality. After evaluating all the studies, the disagreement between the evaluated results by two authors was first identified and resolved until the two reached a unanimous decision. Clinical data was robustly extracted from all eligible studies and entered into a form including first author's name, publication year, type of study (study design), type of *M. officinalis* used in the intervention group (herbal extract, powder or etc.), administered daily dose, treatment duration,

Study, Year	Study design	Follow-up	Treatment	Adminis-	control	Clinical outcome				Patients	No. of
				tered daily dose		тс	TG	LDL	HDL		pa- tients
Asadi et al. (2019)	Randomized, double- blinded, placebo- controlled study	12 weeks	Hydroal- coholic ex- tract of <i>M.</i> officinalis	700 mg/d- two capsules per day (350 mg)	Placebo	Ţ	↓*	1	↑**	Type 2 diabetes	62
Jandaghi et al. (2016)	Randomized, double- blinded, placebo- controlled study	2 months	<i>M. of-</i> <i>ficinalis</i> leaf powder	3000 mg/d- three cap- sules per day (1000 mg)	Placebo	Ţ	Ţ	↓*	Ţ	Borderline hyperlipidemia	58
Javid et al. (2018)	Randomized, double- blinded, placebo- controlled study	8 weeks	<i>M. of-</i> <i>ficinalis</i> leaf powder	3 g per day (3 capsules)	Placebo	↓***	↓***	↓***	↑***	Chronic stable angina	73
Kheirkhah et al. (2021)	Randomized open- label controlled trial	12 weeks	Tea Bag of <i>M</i> . officinalis	2 gr twice per day (4 gr)	Placebo	↓**	↓*	ţ	Ť	Premature ventricular contraction	59
Kim et al. 1800 (2023)	Randomized, double- blinded, placebo- controlled study	24 weeks	<i>M. officina-</i> <i>lis</i> extract	1800 mg/d ALS-L1023	Placebo	Ť	ţ	ţ	¢	Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease	33
Kim et al. 1200 (2023)	Randomized, double- blinded, placebo- controlled study	24 weeks	<i>M. officina-</i> <i>lis</i> extract	1200 mg/d ALS-L1023	Placebo	↓*	↓*	↓*	¢	Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease	32

*Significant in $P \le 0.05$,

**Significant in $P \leq 0.01$

***Significant in P≤0.001

type of control group, type of disease, final clinical outcomes.

Quality assessment

Risk of bias in the selected clinical trials for this metaanalysis was examined based on the revised Cochrane risk-of-bias tool for randomized trials (RoB 2) [46]. Bias arising from the randomization process, bias due to deviations from intended interventions, missing outcome data, measurement of the outcome, and bias in selection of the reported result for each of the included studies were assessed as low risk of bias, some concerns and high risk of bias.

Data synthesis and primary analysis

Meta-analysis was conducted using Comprehensive Meta-Analysis (CMA) V4 software (Biostat, NJ) [47]. Results were presented in several units of measurement. For statistical analysis, the levels of the lipid profiles, comprising TC, TG, HDL, and LDL, were calculated as continuous variables and for each group, the mean or mean change was presented with its associated standard deviation (SD). The following approximations were also used: If a research provided medians and interquartile ranges, these could be converted to means and its related SD as defined by Luo et al. [48] and Wan et al. [49]. If a study provided adjusted mean in 95% confidence interval, the SD could be calculated from the confidence interval by the following equation:

$$CL = \bar{X} \pm Z \times \frac{S}{\sqrt{n}}$$

where CL, X, S and n represent confidence level, sample mean, standard deviation, sample size respectively. Z-value for 95% confidence interval is 1.960.

In this study, standardized mean differences (SMDs) were employed due to the various metrics that were utilized to evaluate outcomes. Therefore, the sample size, mean and standard deviation of each group (intervention and control group) for each relevant outcome were collected to calculate the SMDs. The fixed and random effects models were used based on the heterogeneity statistics including Cochran Q test and I_2 index, where the data with *p*-value ≥ 0.05 and $I_2 \leq 50\%$ were specified as having low heterogeneity (fixed-effects model) and data with p-value < 0.05 and 12>50% were considered as having high heterogeneity (random-effects model). Possible publication bias was assessed by examining the symmetry status of Begg's funnel plot, Begg's rank correlation and Egger's weighted regression tests. The sensitivity analysis was conducted using the one-study exclusion method in order to evaluate the robustness of the obtained results and verify that they were not overly affected by any particular research.

Meta-regression

Meta-regression was performed in order to evaluate the association between calculated SMD in TG, TC, LDL and HDL values with dose, age and duration of treatment in the included studies. Meta-regression was performed under a fixed-effects or random-effects models according to the results of heterogeneity analysis and I_2 values.

Results

Study characteristics

We identified 104 studies through systematic database search. Among the selected researches,134 studies were excluded after careful reading of the title and abstract. It was due to the presence of duplicate studies, review articles, in vitro and in vivo studies, case observations, note, letter to editor, or unrelated to the purpose of this meta-analysis study. Upon further analysis of the full text of the remaining 12 articles, 5 studies matched the inclusion criteria and were chosen for the meta-analysis. A flow chart representing our research selection procedure is depicted in Fig. 1. All 5 studies with a total of 302 patients provided data for serum TC, TG, HDL and LDL levels [30, 32-35]. The characteristics of these eligible studies are detailed in Table 1. Jandaghi et al. [32] performed randomized double-blind placebo-controlled clinical trial to evaluate the effects of M. officinalis leaf powder (3000 mg/d- three capsules per day (1000 mg)) on patients with borderline hyperlipidemia for 2 months. Javid et al. [33] used the same dose of M. officinalis leaf powder to evaluate its effects on serum biomarkers of oxidative stress, inflammation and lipid profile in patients with chronic stable angina for 8 weeks. Kheirkhah et al. used M. officinalis tea bag (4 gram per day) to conduct an open-label randomized clinical trial on patient with premature ventricular contraction. Asadi et al. and Kim et al. used the extract of M. officinalis in patients with Type 2 diabetes (for 12 weeks) and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (for 24 weeks) respectively. Notably, Kim et al. investigated the therapeutic effects of *M. officinalis* extract at two different doses (1200 and 1800 mg/ day) on patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease, thus providing two series of data for meta-analysis.

Risk of bias assessment of clinical trials

One study of the included clinical trials revealed inadequate information regarding both random sequence generation and allocation concealment [33]. One study of the selected clinical trials lacked detailed



Fig. 1 Flow diagram of study selection for meta-analysis

information on randomization and allocation concealment. Two studies raised some concerns about bias arising from the outcome measurement due to lack of detailed information or open-label design of trial [33, 34]. Finally, all included clinical studies demonstrated a low risk of bias for missing outcome data and selection of the reported results. Details on the risk of bias assessment in the selected clinical studies are provided in Fig. 2.

Effect of lemon balm on serum TG levels

Meta-analysis of 5 studies with a total of 302 patients demonstrated a significant decrease in serum TG levels compared to control group (SMD (95% CI): -0.396(-0.620, -0.173), *p*-value=0.001) (Fig. 3A) with a



Fig. 2 Risk of bias assessment in each study

Fixed-effects model (I_2 =18.53%, *p*-value=0.293). The reduction in serum TG levels using lemon balm treatment was robust after performing sensitivity analysis by one-study remove method (Fig. 3B). Egger's test (intercept = -4.43, standard error=2.11; 95% CI = -10.29, 1.42, *t*=2.10, df=4, two-tailed *p*-value=0.10) and upon visual inspection of the funnel plot, no evidence of publication bias was observed in clinical trials evaluating the effect of lemon balm on serum TG levels (Figure S1).

Effect of lemon balm on serum TC levels

The meta-analysis results from the 5 studies with a total of 302 patients demonstrated a significant decrease in serum TC levels compared to control group with SMD (95% CI): -0.416 (-0.641, -0.192), and *p*-value < 0.001 (Fig. 4A). The fixed-effects model was selected for this analysis (I_2 =44.03%, *p*-value 0.054). After removing any single study by sensitivity analysis, no significant changes in the overall results were observed, indicating the robustness and reliability of our findings and the fact that the data were unaffected by any particular study (Fig. 4B). Egger's test (Intercept = -4.36, Standard error=3.35, 95% CI = -13.68, 4.96, t=1.29, df=4.00, two-tailed p value=0.26) and upon visual inspection of the funnel plot, no evidence of publication bias was observed in clinical trials evaluating the effect of lemon balm on serum TC levels (Figure S2).

Effect of lemon balm on serum LDL levels

The pooled results from the 5 studies with a total of 302 patients demonstrated a significant decrease in serum LDL levels compared to control group (SMD

(95% CI): -0.23(-0.45, -0.008), p < 0.05) (Fig. 5A) with a Fixed-effects model ($I_2=37.58\%$, p-value=0.15). After sensitivity analysis by the leave-one-out method, the reduction in serum LDL levels using lemon balm treatment was significant and the results were reliable (Fig. 5B). Egger's test (Intercept = -4.40, Standard error=2.95, 95% CI = -12.59, 3.79, t=1.49, df=4.00, two-tailed p value=0.21) and upon visual inspection of the funnel plot, no evidence of publication bias was observed in clinical trials evaluating the effect of lemon balm on serum LDL levels (Figure S3).

Effect of lemon balm on serum HDL levels

The meta-analysis results from the 5 studies with a total of 302 patients did not show any considerable changes in serum HDL levels compared to control group, with SMD (95% CI): 0.336(-0.091, 0.767), prediction interval of PI (95% CI): 0.336 (-1.055, 1.730) and p-value of 0.123 (Fig. 6A). The random-effects model was selected for this analysis ($I_2 = 71.66\%$, *p*-value < 0.05). After removing any single study by sensitivity analysis, no significant changes in the overall results were observed, and the treatment with lemon balm had no significant effect on HDL levels (Fig. 6B). Egger's test (Intercept = -5.86, Standard error = 5.60, 95% CI = -21.43, 9.70, t=1.04, df=4.00, two-tailed p value=0.35) and upon visual inspection of the funnel plot, no evidence of publication bias was observed in clinical trials evaluating the effect of lemon balm on serum HDL levels (Figure S4).

Meta-regression analysis

Potential associations between the lipid-lowering effects of lemon balm with dose, age and duration of

A				Me	eta An	alysis						
Study name			Statistics f	or each s	study				Std diff i	n means a	and 95% Cl	
	Std diff in means	Standard error	Variance	Lower limit	Upper limit	Z-Value	p-Value					
Asadi et al. (2019)	-0.221	0.255	0.065	-0.720	0.278	-0.867	0.386		- I -			- I
Jandaghi et al. (2016)	-0.006	0.263	0.069	-0.521	0.510	-0.021	0.983		0		-	
Javid et al. (2018)	-0.458	0.237	0.056	-0.924	0.007	-1.931	0.053					
Kheirkhah et al. (2021)	-0.424	0.263	0.069	-0.940	0.092	-1.611	0.107					
Kim et al. (2023)1800	-0.753	0.362	0.131	-1.462	-0.044	-2.082	0.037					
Kim et al. (2023)1200	-0.977	0.375	0.140	-1.711	-0.242	-2.607	0.009	-				
Pooled	-0.396	0.114	0.013	-0.620	-0.173	-3.474	0.001		•			
								-2.00	-1.00	0.00	1.00	2.00
									Favours A		Favours B	

Meta Analysis

A

Study name			Statistics w	ith study	removed				Std di	ff in mean	is (95%	
	Point	Standard error	Variance	Lower limit	Upper limit	Z-Value	p-Value		CI) wi	th study re	emoved	
Asadi et al. (2019)	-0.440	0.128	0.016	-0.690	-0.190	-3.451	0.001	T	14	H I	1	Ĩ
Jandaghi et al. (2016)	-0.487	0.127	0.016	-0.735	-0.239	-3.847	0.000			-		
Javid et al. (2018)	-0.378	0.130	0.017	-0.633	-0.123	-2.903	0.004		-			
Kheirkhah et al. (2021)	-0.390	0.127	0.016	-0.638	-0.142	-3.080	0.002					
Kim et al. (2023)1800	-0.357	0.120	0.014	-0.593	-0.121	-2.969	0.003		-			
Kim et al. (2023)1200	-0.337	0.120	0.014	-0.572	-0.102	-2.814	0.005		-			
Pooled	-0.396	0.114	0.013	-0.620	-0.173	-3.474	0.001	I	◄		L.	
								-2.00	-1.00	0.00	1.00	2.0
									Favours A		Favours B	

Fig. 3 (A). Forest plot displaying standardized mean difference and 95% confidence intervals for the effect of lemon balm intake on TG level; (B). Sensitivity analysis by one-study remove method for the effect of lemon balm intake on TG level

treatment were evaluated using meta-regression analysis. The results did not suggest any significant association between lipid-lowering effects of lemon balm with dose (slope: -0.5574; 95% CI: -1.1149, 0.0000; *p*=0.0500), age (slope: 1.0459; 95% CI: -1.4964, 3.5883; p=0.4717) and duration of treatment (slope: 0.0898; 95% CI: -0.4436, 0.6232; *p*=0.7414) (Fig. 7A, B and C).

Discussion

Dyslipidemia can be considered as a significant preventable risk factor for atherosclerosis, CVD and type 2 diabetes. Even mild abnormalities of lipid profile may substantially increase the risk of CVD in the presence of other cardiovascular risk factors such as type 2 diabetes [50-52]. Type 2 diabetes and dyslipidemia are thought to have a reciprocal relationship, so managing one of them appropriately can have a beneficial impact on the other. Numerous studies have shown a noteworthy association between type 2 diabetes biomarkers such as HbA1c and FBS with several lipid profile parameters in diabetic patients [53–56]. Moreover, according to clinical evidence, effective management of dyslipidemia can decrease morbidity and mortality rates from coronary artery disease [57, 58]. Therefore, lowering TG, TGC, and LDL levels and raising HDL are suitable preventative measures against type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular diseases. As a result, complementary and alternative medicine has been employed extensively in research for the prevention and treatment of lipid disorders, with a growing interest being

	1	٨		
1	L	7	1	
4	6	3		

Meta Analysis

Study name		1	Statistics f	or each s	tudy		Std diff in means and 95% Cl					
	Std diff in means	Standard error	Variance	Lower limit	Upper limit	Z-Value	p-Value					
Asadi et al. (2019)	0.106	0.254	0.065	-0.392	0.605	0.419	0.676		1		- 1	1
Jandaghi et al. (2016)	-0.292	0.264	0.070	-0.810	0.226	-1.106	0.269					
Javid et al. (2018)	-0.509	0.238	0.057	-0.976	-0.043	-2.139	0.032					
Kheirkhah et al. (2021)	-0.634	0.267	0.071	-1.157	-0.111	-2.375	0.018			_		
Kim et al. (2023)1800	-0.314	0.352	0.124	-1.004	0.375	-0.893	0.372			╼┼─	-	
Kim et al. (2023)1200	-1.337	0.392	0.153	-2.105	-0.569	-3.414	0.001	K				
Pooled	-0.416	0.115	0.013	-0.641	-0.192	-3.632	0.000	1	•			
								-2.00	-1.00	0.00	1.00	2.00
									Favours A		Favours B	

Meta Analysis

B

Meta Analysis

Study name			Statistics w	ith study	removed		Std diff in means (95%					
	Point	Standard error	Variance	Lower limit	Upper limit	Z-Value	p-Value		CI) wi	ith study r	emoved	
Asadi et al. (2019)	-0.550	0.128	0.016	-0.802	-0.298	-4.281	0.000	Ĩ	1 -		1	1
Jandaghi et al. (2016)	-0.445	0.127	0.016	-0.695	-0.196	-3.498	0.000		- 4	-		
Javid et al. (2018)	-0.388	0.131	0.017	-0.645	-0.132	-2.968	0.003					
Kheirkhah et al. (2021)	-0.367	0.127	0.016	-0.616	-0.118	-2.892	0.004					
Kim et al. (2023)1800	-0.428	0.121	0.015	-0.666	-0.191	-3.534	0.000			-		
Kim et al. (2023)1200	-0.330	0.120	0.014	-0.565	-0.095	-2.753	0.006					
Pooled	-0.416	0.115	0.013	-0.641	-0.192	-3.632	0.000		_ ∢	•		
								-2.00	-1.00	0.00	1.00	2.00
									Favours A		Favours B	

Meta Analysis

Fig. 4 (A) Forest plot displaying standardized mean difference and 95% confidence intervals for the effect of lemon balm intake on TC level; (B). Sensitivity analysis by one-study remove method for the effect of lemon balm intake on TC level

seen in the usage of medicinal plants and herbal medicines as alternative treatment worldwide.

The current meta-analysis, to our knowledge, is the first study to evaluate the effects of lemon balm treatment on serum lipid profile based on the findings of randomized clinical trials. The use of lemon balm in traditional medicine dates back to more than 20 centuries ago and is popular in folk medicine due to its various therapeutic effects. Lemon balm is listed as natural extractives generally recognized as safe (GRAS) in the USA [59]. Asadi et al. investigated the safety of lemon balm on ApoA-I, Apo B, Lipid ratio in type 2 diabetes patients. They found that taking of 700 mg/day hydroalcoholic extract of lemon balm was safe and no serious adverse effects were reported during their study [29].

The pooled analysis of data from the 5 mentioned studies, with a sample size of 302 patients showed significant decrease in TG, TC and LDL levels. While the results of lemon balm treatment on HDL reduction were not statistically significant. The estimated effect sizes for lemon balm treatment on lipid profile changes were not sensitive to any specific study. In addition, the heterogeneity of studies was assessed by the I^2 index values and Cochran Q test, which for TG, TC and LDL the I^2 index value was ranged between 18 and 44%. The data with *p*-value ≥ 0.05 and $I^2 \leq 50\%$ were specified as having low heterogeneity and

Study name			Statistics f	or each s	study				Std diff	in means a	and 95% Cl	
	Std diff in means	Standard error	Variance	Lower limit	Upper limit	Z-Value	p-Value					
Asadi et al. (2019)	0.185	0.255	0.065	-0.314	0.684	0.727	0.467	ĩ		—I-I		T.
Jandaghi et al. (2016)	-0.691	0.270	0.073	-1.221	-0.161	-2.554	0.011	<u> </u>		- 1	_	
Javid et al. (2018)	-0.013	0.234	0.055	-0.472	0.446	-0.054	0.957					
Kheirkhah et al. (2021)	-0.206	0.261	0.068	-0.718	0.305	-0.790	0.429			∎∓		
Kim et al. (2023)1800	-0.379	0.353	0.124	-1.070	0.313	-1.074	0.283	<	-	_	_	
Kim et al. (2023)1200	-0.661	0.364	0.132	-1.374	0.052	-1.817	0.069	K	-			
Pooled	-0.230	0.114	0.013	-0.453	-0.008	-2.030	0.042					
								-1.00	-0.50	0.00	0.50	1.00
									Favours A		Favours B	

Basta Arraharta

Meta Analvsis

Δ

Study name		_	Statistics w	ith study	removed				Std di	ff in mear	is (95%	
	Point	Standard error	Variance	Lower limit	Upper limit	Z-Value	p-Value		Cl) wit	h study r	emoved	
Asadi et al. (2019)	-0.334	0.127	0.016	-0.582	-0.085	-2.631	0.009	Ĩ		- 1	I	1
Jandaghi et al. (2016)	-0.132	0.125	0.016	-0.377	0.113	-1.056	0.291					
Javid et al. (2018)	-0.297	0.130	0.017	-0.552	-0.043	-2.291	0.022			_		
Kheirkhah et al. (2021)	-0.236	0.126	0.016	-0.483	0.011	-1.873	0.061					
Kim et al. (2023)1800	-0.213	0.120	0.014	-0.448	0.022	-1.779	0.075					
Kim et al. (2023)1200	-0.184	0.119	0.014	-0.418	0.050	-1.540	0.124					
Pooled	-0.230	0.114	0.013	-0.453	-0.008	-2.030	0.042					1
								-1.00	-0.50	0.00	0.50	1.0
									Favours A		Favours B	

Meta Analysis

Fig. 5 (A) Forest plot displaying standardized mean difference and 95% confidence intervals for the effect of lemon balm intake on LDL level; (B). Sensitivity analysis by one-study remove method for the effect of lemon balm intake on LDL level

fixed-effects model was used for meta-analysis. Also, the fixed-effect model assumes one true effect size underlies all the studies in the meta-analysis. However, after removing Kim et al. study (both arms), the result was still significant for TG and TC levels and consistent with the results of the sensitivity analysis, while the results of lemon balm treatment on LDL reduction were not statistically significant.

According to the finding of preclinical studies, lemon balm essential oil and extracts showed a significant increase in the expression levels of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors including PPAR α and PPAR γ which play an important role in regulating lipid and glucose metabolism. Furthermore, the expression levels of sterol regulatory element-binding protein (SREBP)-1c and 3-hydroxy-3-methyl-glutaryl (HMG)-CoA reductase which participates in regulating the fatty acid biosynthesis process were affected by lemon balm essential oil and extracts [26, 60, 61].

The present meta-analysis is the first research that summarizes the findings of previous studies on effects of lemon balm treatment on lipid profiles. Hence, it is necessary to consider some limitations of this metaanalysis while interpreting results and drawing conclusions. The main concern of our research is the limited number of eligible studies and the relatively small population size of each individual study. The patients of these studies had different types of diseases and metabolic syndromes. However, the meta-analysis was sufficiently powered to detect the considerable effects of lemon balm in the combined population regardless of type of diseases. Another limitation of this

<u>A</u>				Me	eta An	alysis						
Study name			Statistics f	or each s	study				_Std diff ir	n means a	nd 95% Cl	
	Std diff in means	Standard error	Variance	Lower limit	Upper limit	Z-Value	p-Value					
Asadi et al. (2019)	0.373	0.256	0.066	-0.129	0.875	1.457	0.145	1		-+	H-I	1
Jandaghi et al. (2016)	-0.060	0.263	0.069	-0.575	0.455	-0.229	0.819				-	
Javid et al. (2018)	1.270	0.257	0.066	0.767	1.773	4.946	0.000					
Kheirkhah et al. (2021)	0.332	0.262	0.069	-0.182	0.846	1.265	0.206					
Kim et al. (2023)1800	0.145	0.350	0.123	-0.541	0.832	0.416	0.678		-			
Kim et al. (2023)1200	-0.163	0.355	0.126	-0.859	0.532	-0.460	0.646			-	-	
Pooled	0.338	0.219	0.048	-0.091	0.767	1.543	0.123					
Prediction Interval	0.338			-1.055	1.730				H			
								-2.00	-1.00	0.00	1.00	2.00
									Favours A		Favours B	

Meta Analysis

.

				IV	eta A	naiysi	S					
Study name_	Point	Standard error	Statistics w Variance	<u>Lower</u> limit	removed Upper limit	Z-Value	p-Value		Std dif Cl) with	f in mean n study re	is (95% emoved	
Asadi et al. (2019)	0.324	0.274	0.075	-0.213	0.862	1.182	0.237	Ĩ	Ĩ		<u> </u>	Ĩ.
Jandaghi et al. (2016)	0.422	0.245	0.060	-0.058	0.901	1.724	0.085			-		
Javid et al. (2018)	0.158	0.129	0.017	-0.094	0.410	1.227	0.220					
Kheirkhah et al. (2021)	0.334	0.273	0.074	-0.201	0.868	1.223	0.221					
Kim et al. (2023)1800	0.368	0.255	0.065	-0.130	0.867	1.447	0.148				_	
Kim et al. (2023)1200	0.423	0.238	0.057	-0.043	0.889	1.779	0.075			- 1-1		
Pooled	0.338	0.219	0.048	-0.091	0.767	1.543	0.123					
Prediction Interval	0.338	0.000	0.000	-1.055	1.730	0.000	0.000		H	_		
								-2.00	-1.00	0.00	1.00	2.00
									Favours A		Favours B	

Meta Analysis

Fig. 6 (A) Forest plot displaying standardized mean difference and 95% confidence intervals for the effect of lemon balm intake on HDL level; (B). Sensitivity analysis by one-study remove method for the effect of lemon balm intake on HDL level

meta-analysis was the different metrics used to evaluate outcomes, so the use of SMD as a summary statistic for the combined effect size in this meta-analysis is justified. Studies with different sample sizes and populations were included and language restrictions were considered in our study selection. Furthermore, due to heterogeneity among studies, which is evident from variations in the length of treatments, dosages, and frequency of lemon balm administration, results should be interpreted with caution. Despite these limitations, our meta-analysis provides noteworthy insights into the effects of lemon balm on serum lipid profile, but interpreting the results with caution is necessary given the acknowledged limitations. The purpose of meta-analysis studies is to systematically assess the results of previous research to derive conclusions about that body of research. Outcomes from a meta-analysis may include a more precise estimate of the effect of treatment or risk factor for disease, or other outcomes, than any individual study contributing to the pooled analysis. However, the results of this meta-analysis should be interpreted with caution for the effects of lemon balm on HDL and LDL levels. In addition, investigating the mechanism of the effect of this medicinal plant on the lipid profile requires further studies.











Regression of Std diff in means on Duration of treatment



Fig. 7 Meta-regression for assessing the effect of (A) Dose; (B) Age; (C) treatment duration

Conclusion

The findings of this meta-analysis provide evidence that lemon balm may be administered as a safe and beneficial herbal medicine for reducing TC, TG and LDL levels. According to the pooled results of 5 studies with a total of 302 patients, lemon balm intake had no significant effect on HDL level. This study offers key information about the substantial impact of lemon balm on serum lipid profile, as a potential remedy in cases of dyslipidemia. It is imperative to conduct further research in order to identify the possible mechanisms behind these beneficial results.

Abbreviations

CMA	Comprehensive Meta-Analysis
CVD	Cardiovascular Disease
HDL	High-Density Lipoprotein Cholesterol
HMG-CoA	3-Hydroxy-3-Methylglutaryl Coenzyme A
LDL	Low-Density Lipoprotein Cholesterol
PPAR	Peroxisome Proliferator-Activated Receptors
SD	Standard Deviation
SMDs	Standardized Mean Differences
SREBP-1c	Sterol Regulatory Element-Binding Protein
TC	Total Cholesterol
TG	Triglyceride

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi. org/10.1186/s12906-024-04442-0.

Supplementary Material 1

Acknowledgements

None.

Author contributions

Dr Kasra Shahsavari: Writing – original draft; Prof. Mohammad Reza Shams Ardekani: Conceptualization, Project administration, Resources, Supervision; Prof. Mahnaz Khanavi: Methodology, Writing - review & editing; Dr Tannaz Jamialahmadi: Formal analysis, Software; Prof. Mehrdad Iranshahi: Writing review & editing; Dr Maede Hasanpour: Data curation, Software, Writing review & editing, Supervision.

Funding

The author received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Data availability

The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Author details

¹School of Medicine, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran ²Department of Pharmacognosy, Faculty of Pharmacy, and Persian Medicine and Pharmacy Research Center, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

³Biotechnology Research Center, Pharmaceutical Technology Institute, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran ⁴Department of Nutrition, Faculty of Medicine, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran

Received: 26 January 2024 / Accepted: 18 March 2024 Published online: 04 April 2024

References

- Aharizad S, Rahimi MH, Moghadam M, Mohebalipour N. Study of genetic diversity in lemon balm (Melissa officinalis I.) populations based on morphological traits and essential oils content. Ann Biol Res. 2012;3(12):5748–53.
- 2. Schenck G, Brieskorn C. Untersuchungen über die Inhaltsstoffe Der Melisse. Arch Pharm. 1944;282(1):1–9.
- 3. Osbaldeston T, Wood R, Dioscorides. De Materia Medica, a new indexed version inmodern English. Johannesburg, South Africa: Ibidis; 2000.
- 4. Ibn SA. Al-Qanun fi'l-tibb (Canon of medicine). Iraq; 1987.
- Wölbling R, Leonhardt K. Local therapy of herpes simplex with dried extract from Melissa officinalis. Phytomedicine. 1994;1(1):25–31.
- 6. Chashti MAK. Exir-e-Azam, 2 ed: Nami Monshi Nolkshur.
- Jäger AK, Gauguin B, Adsersen A, Gudiksen L. Screening of plants used in Danish folk medicine to treat epilepsy and convulsions. J Ethnopharmacol. 2006;105(1–2):294–300.
- Vogl S, Picker P, Mihaly-Bison J, Fakhrudin N, Atanasov AG, Heiss EH, et al. Ethnopharmacological in vitro studies on Austria's folk medicine—An unexplored lore in vitro anti-inflammatory activities of 71 Austrian traditional herbal drugs. J Ethnopharmacol. 2013;149(3):750–71.
- Ibn Beytar Z. Al-Jamee Le-Mofradaat Al-Adwiah Wal-Aghziyah (Comprehensive Book in simple drugs and Foods). Beirut: Dar-Al-Kotob Al-ilmiyah; 2001. p. 467.
- Salah SM, Jäger AK. Screening of traditionally used Lebanese herbs for neurological activities. J Ethnopharmacol. 2005;97(1):145–9.
- Bounihi A, Hajjaj G, Alnamer R, Cherrah Y, Zellou A. In vivo potential antiinflammatory activity of Melissa officinalis L. essential oil. Advances in Pharmacological and Pharmaceutical Sciences. 2013;2013.
- 12. sina HI. Resalat fi-Al-Adviyt Ol Qalbiye (the treatise on cardiac drugs). Damascus: Al-Maahadal-Torath al-Elmi al-Arabi; 1984.
- Yoo G, Kim M, Randy A, Son Y-J, Hong CR, Nho CW. Lemon balm extract and its major chemical compound, rosmarinic acid, alleviate damages of liver in an animal model of nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH)(P06-093-19). Curr Developments Nutr. 2019;3:3013272.
- Cases J, Ibarra A, Feuillère N, Roller M, Sukkar SG. Pilot trial of Melissa officinalis L. leaf extract in the treatment of volunteers suffering from mild-to-moderate anxiety disorders and sleep disturbances. Mediterranean J Nutr Metabolism. 2011;4(3):211–8.
- Mencherini T, Picerno P, Scesa C, Aquino R. Triterpene, antioxidant, and antimicrobial compounds from Melissa officinalis. J Nat Prod. 2007;70(12):1889–94.
- Papoti VT, Totomis N, Atmatzidou A, Zinoviadou K, Androulaki A, Petridis D, et al. Phytochemical content of Melissa officinalis L. herbal preparations appropriate for consumption. Processes. 2019;7(2):88.
- Sharifi-Rad J, Quispe C, Herrera-Bravo J, Akram M, Abbaass W, Semwal P, et al. Phytochemical constituents, biological activities, and health-promoting effects of the Melissa officinalis. Oxidative Med Cell Longev. 2021;2021:1–20.
- Parameswari G, Meenatchisundaram S, Subbraj T, Suganya T, Michael A. Note on pharmacological activities of Melissa officinalis L. Ethnobotanical Leaflets. 2009;2009(1):25.
- López V, Martín S, Gómez-Serranillos MP, Carretero ME, Jäger AK, Calvo MI. Neuroprotective and neurological properties of Melissa officinalis. Neurochem Res. 2009;34:1955–61.
- Taiwo AE, Leite FB, Lucena GM, Barros M, Silveira D, Silva MV, et al. Anxiolytic and antidepressant-like effects of Melissa officinalis (lemon balm) extract in rats: influence of administration and gender. Indian J Pharmacol. 2012;44(2):189.
- Weidner C, Rousseau M, Plauth A, Wowro S, Fischer C, Abdel-Aziz H, et al. Melissa officinalis extract induces apoptosis and inhibits proliferation in colon cancer cells through formation of reactive oxygen species. Phytomedicine. 2015;22(2):262–70.
- Zarei A, Ashtiyani SC, Taheri S, Rasekh F. Comparison between effects of different doses of Melissa officinalis and atorvastatin on the activity of liver enzymes in hypercholesterolemia rats. Avicenna J Phytomedicine. 2014;4(1):15.
- 23. Faraji P, Araj-Khodaei M, Ghaffari M, Dolatabadi JEN. Anticancer effects of Melissa officinalis: a traditional medicine. Pharm Sci. 2021;28(3):355–64.
- Koksal E, Bursal E, Dikici E, Tozoglu F, Gulcin I. Antioxidant activity of Melissa officinalis leaves. J Med Plants Res. 2011;5(2):217–22.
- Birdane Y, Buyukokuroglu M, Birdane F, Cemek M, Yavuz H. Anti-inflammatory and antinociceptive effects of Melissa officinalis L. in rodents. Rev Med Vet. 2007;158(02):75–81.

- Chung MJ, Cho S-Y, Bhuiyan MJH, Kim KH, Lee S-J. Anti-diabetic effects of lemon balm (Melissa officinalis) essential oil on glucose-and lipid-regulating enzymes in type 2 diabetic mice. Br J Nutr. 2010;104(2):180–8.
- Ghazizadeh J, Sadigh-Eteghad S, Marx W, Fakhari A, Hamedeyazdan S, Torbati M, et al. The effects of lemon balm (Melissa officinalis L.) on depression and anxiety in clinical trials: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Phytother Res. 2021;35(12):6690–705.
- Nayebi N, Esteghamati A, Meysamie A, Khalili N, Kamalinejad M, Emtiazy M, et al. The effects of a Melissa officinalis L. based product on metabolic parameters in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: a randomized double-blinded controlled clinical trial. J Complement Integr Med. 2019;16(3):20180088.
- Asadi A, Safari M, Malek M, Hosseini AF, Rezazadeh S, Rajab A, et al. Safety and efficacy of Melissa officinalis (lemon balm) on ApoA-I, apo B, lipid ratio and ICAM-1 in type 2 diabetes patients: a randomized, double-blinded clinical trial. Complement Ther Med. 2018;40:83–8.
- Asadi A, Shidfar F, Safari M, Hosseini AF, Fallah Huseini H, Heidari I, et al. Efficacy of Melissa officinalis L.(lemon balm) extract on glycemic control and cardiovascular risk factors in individuals with type 2 diabetes: a randomized, double-blind, clinical trial. Phytother Res. 2019;33(3):651–9.
- Akhondzadeh S, Noroozian M, Mohammadi M, Ohadinia S, Jamshidi A, Khani M. Melissa officinalis extract in the treatment of patients with mild to moderate Alzheimer's disease: a double blind, randomised, placebo controlled trial. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 2003;74(7):863–6.
- Jandaghi P, Noroozi M, Ardalani H, Alipour M. Lemon balm: a promising herbal therapy for patients with borderline hyperlipidemia—A randomized double-blind placebo-controlled clinical trial. Complement Ther Med. 2016;26:136–40.
- Javid AZ, Haybar H, Dehghan P, Haghighizadeh MH, Mohaghegh SM, Ravanbakhsh M, et al. The effects of Melissa officinalis (lemon balm) in chronic stable angina on serum biomarkers of oxidative stress, inflammation and lipid profile. Asia Pac J Clin Nutr. 2018;27(4):785–91.
- 34. Kheirkhah J, Ghorbani Z, Salari A, Mahdavi-Roshan M, Gholipour M, Vakilpour A, et al. Melissa officinalis tea favourably affects the frequency of premature ventricular beats and cardiometabolic profile among patients with premature ventricular contraction: a randomised open-label controlled trial. Int J Clin Pract. 2021;75(10):e14644.
- Kim G-A, Cho HC, Jeong SW, Kang B-K, Kim M, Jung S, et al. A phase 2a, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study to assess the efficacy and safety of ALS-L1023 in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. Pharmaceuticals. 2023;16(4):623.
- Moini J, Ahangari R, Miller C, Samsam M. Chapter 18 perspective on economics and obesity. In: Moini J, Ahangari R, Miller C, Samsam M, editors. Global Health Complications of Obesity. Elsevier; 2020. pp. 411–23.
- Wickramasinghe M, Weaver JU. In: Weaver JU, editor. Chapter 10 lipid disorders in obesity. Practical Guide to Obesity Medicine: Elsevier; 2018. pp. 99–108.
- Pergolizzi JV Jr, Coluzzi F, Colucci RD, Olsson H, LeQuang JA, Al-Saadi J, et al. Statins and muscle pain. Expert Rev Clin Pharmacol. 2020;13(3):299–310.
- Thompson PD, Panza G, Zaleski A, Taylor B. Statin-associated side effects. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2016;67(20):2395–410.
- Ruscica M, Ferri N, Banach M, Sirtori CR, Corsini A. Side effects of statins: from pathophysiology and epidemiology to diagnostic and therapeutic implications. Cardiovascular Res. 2022;118(17):3288–304.
- Hu Y, Chen X, Hu M, Zhang D, Yuan S, Li P, et al. Medicinal and edible plants in the treatment of dyslipidemia: advances and prospects. Chin Med. 2022;17(1):1–28.
- Mollazadeh H, Mahdian D, Hosseinzadeh H. Medicinal plants in treatment of hypertriglyceridemia: a review based on their mechanisms and effectiveness. Phytomedicine. 2019;53:43–52.
- Guo M, Liu Y, Gao Z-Y, Shi D-z. Chinese herbal medicine on dyslipidemia: progress and perspective. Evidence-based Complement Altern Med. 2014;2014.
- El-Tantawy WH, Temraz A. Natural products for controlling hyperlipidemia. Arch Physiol Biochem. 2019;125(2):128–35.
- Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, Group* P. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. Ann Intern Med. 2009;151(4):264–9.
- 46. Sterne JA, Savović J, Page MJ, Elbers RG, Blencowe NS, Boutron I et al. RoB 2: a revised tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. BMJ. 2019;366.
- Borenstein M, Hedges L, Higgins J, Rothstein H. Comprehensive meta-analysis version 4. Englewood, NJ: Biostat; 2022.

- Luo D, Wan X, Liu J, Tong T. Optimally estimating the sample mean from the sample size, median, mid-range, and/or mid-quartile range. Stat Methods Med Res. 2018;27(6):1785–805.
- Wan X, Wang W, Liu J, Tong T. Estimating the sample mean and standard deviation from the sample size, median, range and/or interquartile range. BMC Med Res Methodol. 2014;14:1–13.
- Qi L, Ding X, Tang W, Li Q, Mao D, Wang Y. Prevalence and risk factors associated with dyslipidemia in Chongqing, China. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2015;12(10):13455–65.
- Smith G. Epidemiology of dyslipidemia and economic burden on the healthcare system. Am J Managed care. 2007;13(3):S68.
- 52. Bloomgarden ZT. American diabetes association annual meeting, 1999: more on cardiovascular disease. Diabetes Care. 2000;23(6):845-.
- 53. Murray CJ, Lopez AD. Mortality by cause for eight regions of the world: global burden of disease study. Lancet. 1997;349(9061):1269–76.
- 54. Zagrebin E, Shevchenko E, Ivanchenko EY, Uspensky V, Abasnia S, Kochetkova A, et al. Correlation of lipid profile and glycated hemoglobin as a new prognostic criterion for type 2 diabetes mellitus development and progression. Современные технологии в медицине. 2020;12(2):87–91.
- Ozder A. Lipid profile abnormalities seen in T2DM patients in primary healthcare in Turkey: a cross-sectional study. Lipids Health Dis. 2014;13:1–6.
- Artha IMJR, Bhargah A, Dharmawan NK, Pande UW, Triyana KA, Mahariski PA et al. High level of individual lipid profile and lipid ratio as a predictive marker of poor glycemic control in type-2 diabetes mellitus. Vasc Health Risk Manag. 2019:149–57.

- Costa J, Borges M, David C, Carneiro AV. Efficacy of lipid lowering drug treatment for diabetic and non-diabetic patients: metaanalysis of randomised controlled trials. BMJ. 2006;332(7550):1115–24.
- Collins R, Heart Protection Study Collaborative Group. MRC/BHF heart protection study of cholesterol-lowering with simvastatin in 5963 people with diabetes: a randomised placebo-controlled trial. Lancet. 2003;361:2005–16.
- 59. Additives EPF. Food NSat. Scientific opinion on the use of oregano and lemon balm extracts as a food additive. EFSA J. 2010;8(2):1514.
- Lee J, Chae K, Ha J, Park B-Y, Lee HS, Jeong S, et al. Regulation of obesity and lipid disorders by herbal extracts from Morus alba, Melissa officinalis, and Artemisia Capillaris in high-fat diet-induced obese mice. J Ethnopharmacol. 2008;115(2):263–70.
- Weidner C, Wowro SJ, Freiwald A, Kodelja V, Abdel-Aziz H, Kelber O, et al. Lemon balm extract causes potent antihyperglycemic and antihyperlipidemic effects in insulin-resistant obese mice. Mol Nutr Food Res. 2014;58(4):903–7.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.