RESEARCH ARTICLE

Open Access



The effects of *Alkanna tinctoria* Tausch on split-thickness skin graft donor site management: a randomized, blinded placebo-controlled trial

Aliasghar Kheiri¹, Shahideh Amini², Abbas Norouzi Javidan³, Mohammad Mehdi Saghafi⁴ and Ghasemali Khorasani^{1*}

Abstract

Background: A prospective, randomized, placebo-controlled clinical trial was conducted to compare the healing effectiveness of *Alkanna tinctoria* (L.) Tausch (Boraginaceae) with standard dressing on wound healing at the donor site after removal of the skin graft.

Methods: Enrolled patients were randomly allocated to receive topical*A. tinctoria* extract ointment (20%) or standard dressing (dressing with base ointment) daily. Wound healing was assessed using the Bates-Jenson assessment tool at the 2nd and 4th weeks after intervention.

Results: Decreases in wound score were significantly greater in the *A. tinctoria* group compared with the placebo group (P < 0.05). The surface areas of graft donor sites in the *A. tinctoria* group were significantly reduced as compared with the control group at day 28 of the intervention (P < 0.05). The proportion of patients in the *A. tinctoria* group achieving complete wound healing within 2 to 4 weeks was 50% and 96.66%, respectively, significantly higher than in patients receiving standard care: 0% and 23.3%, respectively.

Conclusions: This clinical study showed that *A. tinctoria* dressing accelerates wound healing after graft harvesting. **Trial registration:** IRCT ID: IRCT201511165781N2.

Keywords: Alkanna, Skin graft, Wound, Healing

Background

Split-thicknesses skin graft (STSG) is a beneficial technique used to enhance wound healing. However, donor site management after skin graft harvesting is an important issue in reconstructive surgery [1]. The ideal dressing accelerates the healing process, prevents infectious complications and is easy to apply and cost effective [2, 3]. Several dressings and topical agents have been applied clinically for wound healing at the donor site, but the optimum dressing agents or uniform standard dressing for local wound care is unclear. However, no evidence has documented any superiority or advantage of a

¹Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery Division, Faculty of Medicine, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

particular dressing [2, 4, 5]. The process of wound healing after skin graft harvesting depends markedly on the extent of inflammation and infection [6, 7]. Alkanna tinctoria (L.) Tausch (Boraginaceae) (A. tinctoria) is a historical plant that has been used for the treatment of macular eruptions and infectious diseases, such as measles, sore-throat, carbuncles and burns, and has also been used as a dye in the cosmetic and fabric industries. Since ancient times, roots extracted from A. Tinctoria have been used to treat wounds [8, 9]. The main active ingredients of A. Tinctoria are Alkannin and shikonin (A/S) [8]. Alkannin derivatives show strong wound healing along with anti-inflammatory and antimicrobial properties [10, 11]. Although the wound-healing effect of A. tinctorial was first identified in 1976, in the era of modern medicine, the use of this plant has declined



© The Author(s). 2017 **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

^{*} Correspondence: Gakhorasani@yahoo.com

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

[10, 12]. The purpose of this study was to evaluate the healing effectiveness of (*A. tinctoria*) Tausch dressing for STSG donor site coverage compared with standard dressing at the donor site after removal of the skin graft.

Methods

A prospective, randomized, placebo-controlled clinical trial was conducted between October 2015 and January 2016 at Vali-Asr Hospital, a tertiary university hospital in Tehran. The study protocol was approved by the Ethics committee of Tehran University of Medical Science and written informed consent was obtained from all participants after registration of the study in the Iranian Registry of Clinical Trials (IRCT) (IRCT201511165781N2). Patients undergoing skin graft harvest from the thigh for treatment of trauma, scars or tumours were included in this study. Patients with a history of hypersensitivity reaction to the topical formulation, those who were unwilling to participate in the study and those suffering from diseases that could interfere with wound healing, such as diabetes, renal failure, liver failure, malnourishment, cancer and hypoalbuminemia (serum albumin <4 g/dl), as well as elderly (age > 60 years) and pregnant patients, were excluded from the study (Fig. 1).

After the patients were administered general anaesthesia, the donor site was prepared with 10% povidone iodine solution. All skin grafts were harvested to a thickness of 0.4 mm from the antero-lateral thigh region with an electric Dermatome (Humeca).

Participants who met the eligibility criteria were randomized in to one of two groups by using a block randomization: A. Tinctoria cream (group A [n = 30]) and placebo cream (base cream without A. tinctoria extract, group B [n = 30]). The randomization sequence was generated by a statistician and maintained by an administrator who had no other involvement in the trial. One of the main researchers recruited patients on the basis of a randomization sequence. In the operating room, wounds were dressed and bandaged. The study group received topical A. tinctoria ointment daily, whereas the control group received daily topical application of placebo ointment (petrolatum gauze along with several sterile gauzes). The A. tinctoria ointment and placebo ointment were identical in weight, appearance, texture and colour. They were only recognizable by a code (A or B). The researchers and the subjects were blinded to the content of the ointment throughout the study and during the statistical analysis. After 2 days, according to the protocol, the donor site dressing was changed daily. At each visit, the percentage of healing at the donor site, as well as signs of infection, were recorded. The primary outcome measure was wound healing, which was assessed visually and photographically by



the change in wound score according to the Bates-Jensen wound assessment tool at days 14 and 28 after the intervention. The outcome assessor was blinded to the participants' allocation.

According to the sample size formula, we defined alpha (α) as the significance level (set at 0.05 consistent with 1– α or 95% in the context of confidence intervals) and beta (β) as the probability of making a type II error and standard deviation of the outcome variables. According to this formula and assumption of a 10% dropout rate, 30 patients in each group were considered. On the basis of the Bates-Jensen wound assessment between two groups in one week as a pilot study, μ_1 and μ_2 were also defined.

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha = 0.05, \ \beta = 0.001, \ Z^{1} - a/2 = 1.961150776, \ Z^{1} - B = 3.090273048 \\ & \mu_{1} = 8.6, \ \mu_{2} = 28.6, \ \sigma_{1} = 7.3, \ \sigma_{2} = 7.4 \\ & n = \frac{\left(z1 - \frac{a}{2} + z1 - \beta\right)^{2} (\sigma 1^{2} + \sigma^{2} 2)}{(\mu 1 - \mu 2)^{2}} \end{aligned}$$

Preparation of Topical Formulation

Root of *A. tinctoria*, Vaseline, beeswax and sesame oil was used to formulate an *A. Tinctoria* (20%) ointment base. The final product was sterilized by gamma irradiation (25 kGy) to eliminate bacterial spores. The *A. tinctoria*-based ointment was provided by Daru Darman pharmaceutical lab (approved by the Iranian Health Authorities). A placebo ointment, consisting of the base formulation only without *A. tinctoria*, was also prepared.

Toxicity Assessment

In a preliminary study, skin irritation due to this product was evaluated by the Driaiz Primary Skin Test [13, 14]. In this method, topical product was applied to the skin of animals (rabbits), and any signs of erythema and oedema were evaluated. No irritation (primary irritation index (PII) = 0) was observed in animals (data not shown).

Wound Evaluation

Wound healing was assessed by the change in wound score according to the Bates-Jensen wound assessment tool. The Bates-Jensen wound assessment tool contains 13 items that assess wound size, depth, edges, undermining, necrotic tissue type, amount of necrosis, granulation and epithelialization tissue, exudate type and amount, surrounding skin colour, oedema and induration. These are rated on a modified Likert scale; the highest score indicates the unhealthiest wound. Each item was rated on a modified Likert scale and finally, the total score was obtained by adding together the 13-item scores. The Bates-Jensen wound assessment tool is available to use free with permission from the author at:

http://www.geronet.med.ucla.edu/centers/borun/modules/Pressure_ulcer_prevention/puBWAT.pdf)

In addition, signs of infection were assessed during the study.

Data analysis

The data were analysed using SPSS version 18.0 software. Both the Student's *t*- test and analysis of variance (ANOVA) were used to compare the wound score and wound surface area between the two groups and all two groups, respectively. The changes in the stage of healing during study were analysed by using repeated-measures ANOVA. Results were considered significant at P < 0.05. A chi-square test was used for descriptive variables.

Results

Among the included patients, 30 patients (21 males and 9 females) in the *A. tinctoria* group and 30 patients (23 males and 7 females) in the control group completed the study (Fig. 1). There was no significant difference in the demographic data between the two groups (Table 1).

To evaluate wound healing, the grade and surface area of the skin graft donor site were compared at days 14 and 28 after the intervention (Table 2). There was no significant difference in wound scores of both groups at baseline, but the decrease in wound scores was significantly higher in the A. tinctoria group as compared with the placebo group at days 14 and 28 after the dressing was applied. In addition, the wound surface area was significantly reduced in the A. tinctoria group as compared with the control group following 28 days of intervention. The mean widths of the scar were 7.37 ± 9.45 mm and 0.00 ± 0.00 mm in the case group, whereas these values were 53 ± 37.82 mm and 1.37 ± 3.43 mm in control group at 14 and 28 days, respectively (P < 0.05). No adverse effects were seen in either group treated with herbal and placebo ointments.

Discussion

The primary study outcome was the percentage change in wound score according to the Bates-Jensen wound assessment tool after 4 weeks of treatment with A. tinctoria extract ointment (20%) or standard daily dressing (dressing with base ointment) at the split-thickness skin graft donor site. Secondary outcomes included the percentage change in wound surface area, the proportion of patients who achieved complete healing and the adverse effects of dressing with A. tinctoria extract ointment. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first clinical study to evaluate the healing effect of A. tinctoria on the splitthickness skin graft donor site. Our results suggest that a dressing providing a moist environment gives beneficial effects [2, 15, 16]. Under optimal conditions, the skin graft donor site heals within 7 to 21 days [16]. A proper wound dressing may reduce the time to complete re-epithelialization and to prevent conversion of the donor site to a full-thickness wound [15, 17, 18]. The healing process occurs in three phases of inflammation, proliferation, and maturation [19, 20]. It is established that the inflammatory phase has the greatest impact on the healing process [21]. Alkannin, shikonin and their

Table 1 Characteristics of the patients

Variable	Control group	Alkanna tinctoria group	P-value
Age, mean (SD), years	36.40 ± 11.48	37.13 ± 12.06	0.8
Sex			
Male, <i>n</i> (%)	22(73.3%)	21(70%)	0.7
Female, <i>n</i> (%)	8(26.6%)	9 (30%)	

Variable	Day ^a	Control group	Alkanna tinctoria group	P-value
Wound score ^b mean ± (SD)	0	25.17 ± 7.42	25.07 ± 7.24	0.08
	14	20.63 ± 6.64	9.97 ± 1.30	0.001
	28	11.83 ± 2.77	9.03 ± 0.18	0.001
Patients with Wound score <10, <i>n</i> (%)	14	0	15 (50%)	0.001
	28	7(23.3%)	29(96.66%)	0.001

 Table 2 Score comparison and surface area between the groups

^aDays after the intervention

^bScore according to the Bates-Jensen wound assessment tool

esters are the main ingredients in A. tinctoria that have anti-inflammatory, antimicrobial and antioxidant properties and promote wound healing [10, 12, 22]. Previous studies showed that alkannin/shikonin (A/S) had wound-healing effects on chronic ulcers, leprotic ulcers, burn injuries and anal fissures [10, 12]. As mentioned earlier, no studies have reported the wound-healing activity of A/Son split-thickness skin graft donor sites. The anti-inflammatory activity of A/S accelerates wound healing. In our study, decreases in wound score were significantly higher in theA. tinctoriagroup. More specifically, we found that the proportion of patients who achieved complete healing increased with use of the alkannin/shikonin (A/S)-based ointment. Other clinical studies showed that A/Shad healing effects in cases of chronic, severe or contaminated wounds. Furthermore, animal studies showed promotion of angiogenesis, collagen production and epithelialization in acute, noncontaminated wounds by the A/S-based ointment [23, 24]. Previously, it was indicated that the Aloe vera cream enhanced wound healing compared with dry gauze dressing; this may have been due to the moistureretaining effect of Aloe vera on the wounds, but in the present study, it appears as though the pharmacologic properties of A/S were responsible for the observed effects [25]. These results indicate that wound-healing pharmaceutical preparations, such as A/S-based ointment, which modulates both inflammatory and proliferative phases of wound healing, maybe more efficient than standard dressing for donor site management after skin graft harvesting.

Conclusion

A topical cream containing *A. tinctoria* exhibited the highest rates of complete healing, and wounds to which it was applied healed significantly faster than those bandaged with standard dressing.

Limitations

In this study, pain scores during dressing changes were not evaluated. In addition, this short-term study did not enable us to evaluate scar appearance.

Abbreviations

A. tinctoria: Alkannatinctoria; A/S: Alkannin and shikonin; STSG: Split-thicknesses skin grafts

Acknowledgements

We thank all the participants who contributed and made the study possible. This work was supported by the Brain and Spinal Injury Research Centre, Institute of Neuroscience, Tehran University of Medical Sciences.

Funding

This study was supported by the Brain and Spinal Injury Research Centre, Institute of Neuroscience, Tehran University of Medical Sciences. This centre had no influence over how this study was designed or performed.

Availability of data and materials

Not relevant to this research.

Authors' contributions

GK and AN together carried out the design of the study, the clinical trial registration and the ethical approval process. AK handled the recruitment of the participants and the research data. SA and MS handled the research data and conducted the statistical analysis of the data, wrote the manuscript and made revisions. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Consent for publication

Consent to publish is not relevant to this research.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The protocol for this study was approved by the Ethical Board of Tehran University of Medical Science (9211256005). The study is registered in The Iran Clinical Trial Registry. (IRCT ID: IRCT201511165781N2). Date of registration: 23 November 2015.

Written informed consent was obtained from all participants prior to study participation. All participants received sufficient information on the study content, and they were informed that they would receive all therapeutic treatments if they decided not to continue in the study.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Author details

¹Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery Division, Faculty of Medicine, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. ²Clinical Pharmacy Department, Faculty of Pharmacy, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. ³Brain and Spinal Injury Research Center, Institute of Neuroscience, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. ⁴Pharmacology Department, Faculty of Pharmacy, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran.

Received: 11 April 2016 Accepted: 13 April 2017 Published online: 08 May 2017

References

- Geary PM, Tiernan E. Management of split skin graft donor sites-results of a national survey. Clin Plast Surg. 2012;39(1):77–84.
- Voineskos SH, Ayeni OA, McKnight L, Thoma A. Systematic review of skin graft donor-site dressings. Plast Reconstr Surg. 2009;124(1):298–306.
- Terrill PJ, Goh RC, Bailey MJ. Split-thickness skin graft donor sites: a comparative study of two absorbent dressings. J Wound Care. 2007;16(10):433–8.
- Walter CJ, Dumville JC, Sharp CA, Page T. Systematic review and metaanalysis of wound dressings in the prevention of surgical-site infections in surgical wounds healing by primary intention. Br J Surg. 2012;99(9):1185–94.
- Eskes AM, Brölmann FE, Gerbens LA, Ubbink DT, Vermeulen H. REMBRANDT study group. Which dressing do donor site wounds need? Study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. Trials. 2011. doi:10.1186/1745-6215-12-22.
- Loeffelbein DJ, Rohleder NH, Eddicks M, Baumann CM, Stoeckelhuber M, Wolff KD, et al. Evaluation of human amniotic membrane as a wound dressing for split-thickness skin-graft donor sites. Biomed Res Int. 2014. doi:10.1155/2014/572183.
- Junker JP, Kamel RA, Caterson EJ, Eriksson E. Clinical impact upon wound healing and inflammation in moist, wet, and dry environments. Adv Wound Care. 2013;2(7):348–56.
- Chen X, Yang L, Oppenheim JJ, Howard MZ. Cellular pharmacology studies of shikonin derivatives. Phytother Res. 2002;16(3):199–209.
- Apageorgiou VP, Assimopoulou AN, Couladouros EA, Hepworth D, Nicolaouet KC. Chemistry and biology of alkannins, shikonins and related naphthazarin natural products. Angew Chem Int Ed. 1999;38(32): 270–300.
- Papageorgiou VP, Assimopoulou AN, Ballis AC. Alkannins and shikonins: a new class of wound healing agents. Curr Med Chem. 2008;15(30):3248–67.
- Kourounakisa AP, Assimopoulou AN, Papageorgiou VP, Gavalas A, Kourounakis PN. Alkannin and shikonin: effect on free radical processes and on inflammation a preliminary pharmacochemical investigation. Arch Pharm (Weinheim). 2002;335(6):262–6.
- 12. Papageorgiou VP. Wound healing properties of naphthaquinone pigments from *Alkannatinctoria*. Experientia. 1978;34(11):1499–501.
- Basketter DA, Reynolds FS, York M. Irritant dermatitis. Clin Dermatol. 1997; 15(4):637–44.
- Tardiff RG, Hubner RP, Graves CG. Harmonization of thresholds for primary skin irritation from results of human repeated insult patch tests and laboratory animal skin irritation tests. J Appl Toxicol. 2003. doi:10.1002/jat.917.
- Wiechula R. The use of moist wound-healing in the management of splitthickness skin graft donor sites: a systematic review. Int J Nurs Pract. 2003; 9(Suppl 2):9–17.
- Karlsson M, Lindgren M, Jarnhed-Andersson I, Tarpila E. Dressing the splitthickness skin graft donor site: a randomized clinical trial. Adv Skin Wound Care. 2014;27(1):20–5.
- Demirtas Y, Yagmur C, Soylemez F, Ozturk N, Demir A. Management of splitthickness skin graft donor site: a prospective clinical trial for comparison of five different dressing materials. Burns. 2010;36:999–1005.
- Brölmann FE, Eskes AM, Goslings JC, Niessen FB, de Bree R, Vahl AC, et al. Randomized clinical trial of donor-site wound dressings after split-skin grafting. Br J Surg. 2013;100(5):619–27.
- Li J, Chen J, Kirsner R. Pathophysiology of acute wound healing. Clin Dermatol. 2007;25(1):9–18.
- Greaves NS, Ashcroft KJ, Baguneid M, Bayat A. Current understanding of molecular and cellular mechanisms in fibroplasia and angiogenesis during acute wound healing. J Dermatol Sci. 2013;72(3):206–17.
- Rennekampff HO, Hansbrough JF, Kiessig V, Dore C, Sticherling M, Schröder JM. Bioactive interleukin-8 is expressed in wounds and enhances wound healing. J Surg Res. 2000;93(1):41–54.
- 22. Assimopoulou AN, Papageorgiou VP. Radical scavenging activity of *Alkanna tinctoria* root extracts and their main constituents, hydroxyl naphthoquinones. Phytother Res. 2005;19(2):141–7.
- Ogurtan Z, Hatipoglu F, Ceylan C. The effect of Alkanna tinctoria Tausch on burn wound healing in rabbits. Dtsch Tierarztl Wochenschr. 2002;109(11): 481–5.

- 24. Karayannopoulou M, Tsioli V, Loukopoulos P, Anagnostou TL, Giannakas N, Savvas I, Papazoglou LG, Kaldrymidou E. Evaluation of the effectiveness of an ointment based on Alkannins/Shikonins on second intention wound healing in the dog. Can J Vet Res. 2011;75(1):42–8.
- Khorasani G, Ahmadi A, Jalal Hosseinimeh S, Ahmadi A, Taheri A, Fathi H. The Effects of Aloe Vera Cream on Split thickness Skin Graft Donor Site Management: A randomized, blinded, placebo-controlled study. Wounds. 2011;23(2):44–8.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and we will help you at every step:

- We accept pre-submission inquiries
- Our selector tool helps you to find the most relevant journal
- We provide round the clock customer support
- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- Inclusion in PubMed and all major indexing services
- Maximum visibility for your research

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit

